

May 11, 2026, Case Management Conference Tentative Rulings

- 1. CU0000569 Susan Foote vs. Jenny Renee Hunter Kaeding**
Appearances are required. Plaintiff and Defendant shall show cause why they should not be sanctioned for their failure to timely file case management conference statements.
- 2. CU0001697 County of Nevada vs. Wild Earth Property LLC, et al.**
No appearances are required. This matter was previously dismissed with prejudice on April 20, 2026.
- 3. CU0001926 Karl Grothman, et al. vs. April Rizzi**
Appearances are required for status regarding dismissal. If the parties wish the court to retain jurisdiction, they should file a written stipulation under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 or state their agreement regarding the same on the record.
- 4. CU0002117 Michael DeMartini, et al. vs. David A. DeMartini, et al.**
No appearances are required. On its own motion, the Court continues the case management conference to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6. Plaintiff shall file a proof of service, an application for service by publication (as appropriate), or a request for dismissal of Defendants Lynn D. DeMartini and Laurie A. DeMartini no later than two weeks prior to the continued case management conference date.
- 5. CU0002217 Brooklyn Russell vs. Terry Ann Ferguson As Trustee of the Terry Ann Ferguson Living Trust**
Appearances are required for status regarding dismissal. If the parties wish the court to retain jurisdiction, they should file a written stipulation under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 or state their agreement regarding the same on the record.
- 6. CU0002414 California Fair Plan Association vs. James R. Kropp**
No appearances are required. On its own motion, the Court continues the case management conference to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6. A first amended complaint has recently been filed. Defendant shall file a responsive pleading, or Plaintiff shall file a request for default (as appropriate) or request for dismissal of Defendant at least two weeks prior to the continued case management conference. Plaintiff shall give notice of the continued court date.
- 7. CU0002438 Michael Rogers, et al. vs. Nicholas Steenson, et al.**
No appearances are required. On its own motion, the Court continues the case management conference to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6. Plaintiff shall file a proof of service, an application for service by publication (as appropriate), or a request for dismissal of Defendants Matthew Meagher and HD Roofing & Sheet Metal, Inc., no later than two weeks prior to the continued case management conference date.

Additionally, the Court notes that the Answer filed by Nicholas and Melissa Steenson was

not signed by Mellissa Steenson. (*See* Civ. Proc. Code 431.30).

8. CU0002524 Diana Dentoni vs. Sonya Sokolow

No appearances are required. On its own motion, the Court continues the case management conference to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6. Plaintiff shall file a proof of service, an application for service by publication (as appropriate), or a request for dismissal of Defendants no later than two weeks prior to the continued case management conference date.

The Court notes that the proof of service of summons filed by Plaintiff does not include a signed acknowledgement of receipt. *See* Civ. Proc. Code 415.30(c).

9. CU0002622 Stanley Cosby, et al. vs. Penn Valley Fire Protection District

No appearances are required. On the Court's motion, the case management conference is continued to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6, to permit adjudication of pending motions.

10. CU0002624 Rachael Perry vs. Barbara Jean Roth, et al.

No appearances are required. On its own motion, the Court continues the case management conference to August 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 6. Plaintiff shall file a proof of service, an application for service by publication (as appropriate), or a request for dismissal of Defendant Estate of Richard Roth no later than two weeks prior to the continued case management conference date.

11. TCU22-8066 Theodore Lachowicz, et al. vs. Mark Tanner Construction Inc, et al.

Appearances by Mark Tanner Construction are required regarding the request for default judgment of Mark Henry HVAC, Inc.

The order to show cause issued to counsel for Blake Hoffman Hardwood Floors is dismissed in the interest of justice. The Court has now received requests for dismissal from all other parties. The cross-complaint filed by Blake Hoffman Hardwood Floors is dismissed with prejudice.